



---

## Dravida Nation, Navigating Indian Fault Lines

Published on April 30, 2025

Document Date: Wed, Jan 14 2026 09:12:33 pm

Category: , English, Kashmir -

Show on website : [Click Here](#)

---

rki.news

Dr. Waleed Rasool

The Nuanced understanding of the issue, addressing the complex nature of the disconnect between India's internal struggles and its external messaging. The rage in North East, Punjab, and now in Tamil Nadu, with its growing calls for a Dravida Nation, highlights India's deep-rooted North-South divide and regional aspirations for independence. What India projects on the global stage is often in stark contrast to its internal realities. While it promotes itself as the world's largest democracy, a rising economic power, and a strong regional player, the underlying fault lines—stemming from cultural divides, regional aspirations, identity struggles, and political tensions—paint a different picture. India's internal dynamics, marked by regional resistance,

ethnic tensions, and religious divides, often contradict the narrative of unity and strength that it seeks to project internationally. On the global front, India is keen to assert its muscle—whether it's in its military might, its economic influence, or its geopolitical ambitions, particularly with neighboring nations. Yet, this outward show of power often fails to align with the complex internal challenges she faces. India's policies toward its neighbors and its attempts to influence regional dynamics can sometimes be at odds with the realities of its internal diversity and the growing calls for autonomy or even secession in various regions. These regions, historically, have experienced varying levels of alienation from the central government in Delhi, driven by factors like cultural identity, political marginalization, religious differences, and the imposition of Hindi or Northern culture.

**North East:** States like Nagaland, Assam, and Meghalaya have long struggled with ethnic identity, autonomy, and the influence of the central government.

**Punjab:** The demand for Khalistan (a separate Sikh nation) is fueled by historic grievances, including the 1984 anti-Sikh riots.

**Junagadh and Hyderabad:** These princely states' integration into India post-independence was marked by significant resistance, leading to calls for independence or alternative political arrangements.

**Tamil Nadu:** The growing sentiment for a Dravida Nadu (Dravidian Nation) echoes the historical demand for greater autonomy, rooted in resistance to Hindi imposition, caste-based oppression, and centralization of power in Delhi.

Tamil Nadu, along with Karnataka, Andhra

Pradesh, and Kerala, continues to promote the idea of Dravida Nadu, representing a regional identity distinct from the dominant North Indian narrative. The Dravidian movement is deeply embedded in the region's political landscape, emphasizing Tamil identity, opposition to Brahmin dominance, and resistance to central policies that dilute local culture and language. This North-South divide remains one of India's most significant political fault lines, revealing how complex the relationship between the center and various states is, where regional pride and autonomy clash with national unity. While India projects an image of unity on the global stage, these underlying tensions threaten its cohesion and highlight the country's multi-layered, contested identity. Tamil Nadu is located in the southernmost part of India, bordered by the Bay of Bengal to the east, Kerala to the west, Karnataka to the northwest, and Andhra Pradesh to the north. It has a long coastline and features plains, hills (like the Nilgiris), and river systems such as the Cauvery. Tamil Nadu has a population of over 72 million (as per the 2011 Census, now estimated over 76 million). The majority are ethnic Tamils, who have a distinct Dravidian heritage, language (Tamil), and culture. The state also has small communities of Telugu, Kannada, and Malayali speakers. Tamil Nadu's politics are dominated by two major regional parties: the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam (DMK) and the All India Anna Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam (AIADMK). Both parties emerged from the Dravidian movement, which focuses on Tamil identity, social justice,

and resistance to centralization by Delhi. The politics are strongly regionalist, secular, and anti-caste in nature, with a historic resistance to Hindi imposition and North Indian cultural dominance. The conflict between Tamil Nadu and the Indian Union government stems from the BJP regime's approach to federalism, where most powers are centralized in Delhi. The extremist Hindu-dominated North allows limited autonomy to the states but heavily interferes in their internal matters, especially Tamil Nadu. India operates under a quasi-federal system with a clear unitary bias, frustrating states that seek genuine autonomy. Tamil Nadu has been a strong opponent of this system. The BJP's centralization drive seeks to impose North Indian culture, particularly the Hindi language, diluting local identities. The introduction of All India Services under Article 312 – where Delhi recruits bureaucrats and sends them to the states – has been resisted by Tamil Nadu, which sees it as an intrusion into its affairs. This recruitment increases Delhi's influence in Tamil Nadu's governance, creating deep resentment. Although India is constitutionally described as a "Union of States," the Union government's actions lean towards a unitary state. According to the Seventh Schedule, the Union controls 100 subjects, the State List has only 61, and the Concurrent List has 52. Even in Concurrent subjects like education, state legislation is often overruled by the Union, especially during emergencies. The conflict intensified when the Tamil Nadu Assembly passed several bills, which Governor R.N. Ravi delayed

signing, leading to litigation in the Supreme Court. Although the court reminded the Governor of his constitutional obligations, the tensions remain unresolved. Tamil Nadu also passed legislation against NEET (National Eligibility cum Entrance Test), resisting Delhi's control over education, aiming to protect the local students' interests. Imposing Hindi and promoting Hindu identity by the BJP has further fueled separatist sentiment. As a Congress MP recently warned: if Hindi is forcibly imposed, the South will have no option but to demand separation. From 1947 to 1967, Congress ruled Tamil Nadu, winning four consecutive elections. Post-1967, two regional parties—DMK and AIADMK—dominated. Both emerged from the Dravidian movement, which emphasized Tamil pride, rejected Brahminical supremacy, and challenged Delhi's interpretation of Indian identity. DMK, originally advocating for a separate Dravida Nadu (Dravidian Nation), promoted Tamil language, culture, and even criticized Hindu religious texts like the Ramayana, portraying Ravana, not Rama, as the hero. In 1965, Tamil Nadu witnessed massive anti-Hindi protests rejecting Hindi as the national language, instead demanding Tamil and English. DMK capitalized on this sentiment and rose to power. Leaders like M.G. Ramachandran (MGR) and Jayalalitha continued to oppose caste hierarchy and uphold Tamil identity. Tamil cinema also played a crucial role in preserving this identity against Delhi's assimilationist efforts. The Tamil diaspora, particularly in Malaysia and Singapore, has kept the Dravidian consciousness alive.

Even today, leaders like A. Raja openly advocate for a separate Dravida Nadu if Tamil interests are not safeguarded. Historically, from 1940 to 1960, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, and Karnataka collectively demanded a Dravida Nadu. However, the 16th Constitutional Amendment (1963) criminalized secessionist demands. Despite this, Tamil Nadu has kept its aspirations alive, cleverly channeling demands through political and legal means. The recent commission headed by a retired Supreme Court judge, investigating Tamil Nadu's economic contributions versus returns from the Union, reflects deep institutional dissatisfaction. In conclusion, a practical analysis of the cause-and-effect relationship reveals that India's internal vulnerabilities—stemming from its regional fault lines, ethnic divisions, and political tensions—are intricately linked to the ongoing conflicts and external pressures it faces. The situation in Kashmir stands as a central cause, with its historical grievances and ongoing disputes fueling not only the strained relationship with Pakistan but also exacerbating internal divisions within India. The effect of this unresolved issue ripples throughout the entire region, contributing to heightened tensions, security concerns, and the potential for escalation. By addressing the root cause—the Kashmir conflict—India can mitigate these effects, creating a more stable, peaceful environment within its borders and in the region as a whole. Resolving Kashmir's status would not only ease relations with Pakistan but also help bridge the divides within India, leading to greater

national unity and long-term peace. Thus, India must shift its focus from military escalation and external posturing to addressing the fundamental issues at home, primarily in Kashmir. The cause-and-effect analysis shows that resolving internal disputes will lead to peace, stability, and cohesion both within India and in its broader regional relations. Only by addressing these underlying causes can India hope to secure a future of lasting peace and prosperity.